

S281. Adulteration of lard. U. S. * * * v. John R. DeGraffenreid. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 533-c.)

On November 28, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against John R. DeGraffenreid, Washington, D. C., alleging that on October 17, 1919, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of lard which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that it contained an added ingredient, to wit, stearin, which lowered and reduced its standard of quality.

On November 28, 1919, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$25 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

S282. Adulteration of lard. U. S. * * * v. J. W. Hummer and B. F. Hummer (Hummer & Hummer). Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 534-c.)

On December 3, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against J. W. Hummer and B. F. Hummer, copartners, trading as Hummer & Hummer, Washington, D. C., alleging that on October 21, 1919, the said defendants did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of lard which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that it contained an added ingredient, to wit, beef stearin, which lowered and reduced its quality.

On December 3, 1919, the defendants having failed to appear, the \$25 collateral that had been deposited by them to insure their appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

S283. Adulteration of milk. U. S. * * * v. Thomas T. Barnesley. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 535-c.)

On December 5, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Thomas T. Barnesley, Derwood, Md., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on November 12, 1919, from the State of Maryland into the District of Columbia, of a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that water had been mixed and packed therewith so as reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality.

On December 5, 1919, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$25 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

S284. Adulteration of milk. U. S. * * * v. Thomas T. Barnesley. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 536-c.)

On December 5, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police

Court of the District aforesaid an information against Thomas T. Barnsley, Derwood, Md., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on June 7, 1919, from the State of Maryland into the District of Columbia, of a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that a valuable constituent, to wit, butter fat, had been in whole or in part abstracted therefrom, thus reducing and lowering its quality and strength.

On December 5, 1919, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$25 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

8285. Adulteration of milk. U. S. * * * v. Richard B. Wagoner. Collateral of \$50 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 537-c.)

On December 5, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Richard B. Wagoner, Manassas, Va., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on October 16, 1919, from the State of Virginia into the District of Columbia, of a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a certain substance, to wit, water, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality.

On December 5, 1919, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$50 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

8286. Adulteration of lard. U. S. * * * v. Mary Jordan. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 538-c.)

On December 8, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Mary Jordan, Washington, D. C., alleging that on October 21, 1919, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of lard which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that certain substances, to wit, cottonseed oil and hydrogenated fat, had been substituted in whole or in part for the article, so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality.

On December 8, 1919, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$25 collateral that had been deposited by her to insure her appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

8287. Adulteration of vinegar. U. S. * * * v. William Glassman. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 539-c.)

On December 8, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against William Glassman, Washington, D. C., alleging that on October 7, 1919, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of vinegar which was adulterated.